





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,797	04/18/2002	Eros Pedroni	635.40829 X00	9253
20457	7590 03/26/2003			
	LI TERRY STOUT A	EXAMINER		
SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH	SEVENTEENTH STR	GILBERT, SAMUEL G		
ARLINGTON		~~.		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2.		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/018,797	PEDRONI, EROS	CM			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Samuel G Gilbert	3736				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sh et with the correspondenc address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
· ·	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 15-28 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) 23 and 24 is/are allowed.						
6)🛛	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-22 and 25-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment	c(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	5) Notice of Informal	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-15				



Application/Control Number: 10/018,797

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 12/21/0.1 has been considered. The application file wrapper indicates an IDS was filed on 3/13/03 however the file wrapper does not contain this IDS.

Specification

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-22 and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cole et al(4,870,287) in view of Nonaka et al(6,094,760).

Cole et al teaches a proton beam therapy system having the proton beam guiding structure as claimed and a rotatable patient gantry, however the details of the patient gantry have not been set forth. Nonaka et al teaches a bed system for proton therapy which sets forth the particulars of the patient table as claimed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the bed system as taught by Nonaka et al with the therapy device set forth by Cole et al to provide the advantage of placing the patient in more positions to provide therapy





Application/Control Number: 10/018,797

Art Unit: 3736

thereby protecting healthy tissue while focusing the beam on the desired tissue, as is taught by Nonaka et al.

Coles also set forth a plurality of treatment stations where the beams are in a fixed position. Nonaka et al teaches using a rotatable beam source. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a rotatable beam guides as taught by Nonaka et al in place of the fixed station of Cole et al. to allow for space savings and to allow one station to be used for all therapy.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 23 and 24 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patents 5,189, 687 and 6,207,952 teach related patient treatment systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel G Gilbert whose telephone number is 703-308-3553. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 703-308-3130. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-



Application/Control Number: 10/018,797

Art Unit: 3736

308-0758 for regular communications and 703-308-0758 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Samuel G Gilbert Primary Examiner Art Unit 3736

sgg March 21, 2003